



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,484	01/15/2004	Kevin Curtis Griffin	ROC920030367US1	6194
30206	7590	10/29/2007	EXAMINER THOMAS, SHANE M	
IBM CORPORATION ROCHESTER IP LAW DEPT. 917 3605 HIGHWAY 52 NORTH ROCHESTER, MN 55901-7829			ART UNIT 2186	PAPER NUMBER
		MAIL DATE 10/29/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/758,484	GRIFFIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Shane M. Thomas	<b>Art Unit</b> 2186	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shane M. Thomas. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Scott Stinebruner (Reg. No. 38,323). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 17 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,14,17 and 31.

Identification of prior art discussed: Micka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,592,618).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant proposed an amendment to the independent claims that within consistency groups, the writes or updates may occur without regard for order relative to one another. The Examiner agreed that the proposed amendment would overcome the Micka reference, as Micka specifically teaches that independent writes may not complete without regard to order as they cannot violate sequence order [11/39-40].

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required